FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS AUSTIN DIVISION

Z888 NUV 25 PM 4: 10

WESTERN BISTRICT COURT

J. WINSTON and SHERI S. KRAUSE

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES of AMERICA

Defendant

SEPUT Y

CIVIL ACTION NO.

A08CA 865SS

PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs bring this action pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), 26 U.S.C. §1, et seq., claiming a refund of income taxes, penalties and interest paid along with all other amounts to which they are entitled to be refunded, including interest, and for other appropriate relief.

I. PARTIES

- 1. J. Winston Krause and Sheri S. Krause (the "Krauses") are married adult individuals residing in Austin, Travis County, Texas.
- 2. Defendant, the United States of America, may be served with process upon:
- (a) the Civil Process Clerk, Office of the United States Attorney, 816 Congress Ave., Suite 1000, Austin, TX 78701; and
- (b) the Attorney General of the United States, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20530-0001.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. Plaintiffs filed their claim for refund on March 6, 2008. A true and accurate copy of the claim for refund is attached as Exhibit A (Bates No. PL0001-0002) along with the U.S. Postal Service Certified Mail Receipt Postmark.
- 4. More than six months have elapsed since Plaintiffs filed their claim and Defendant has taken no action to allow or deny Plaintiffs' claim for refund.

- 5. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 26 U.S.C. §7422(a).
- 6. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §1391(e)(2) and (3).

III. OVERVIEW OF FACTS

- 7. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the IRS' Transcript of Account for Plaintiffs for the 2002 and 2003 tax years (Bates No. PL0003 0006).
- 8. On January 4, 2007, the IRS issued the Notice of Deficiency to the Krauses for tax years 2002 and 2003 and a true and correct copy of which is attached as <u>Exhibit C</u>. (Bates Nos. PL0007 PL0033).

2002 Tax Year

- 9. In October, 2003, the Plaintiffs filed their 2002 tax return with the Internal Revenue Service which it received on November 17, 2003 (See PL0004).
- 10. The 2002 tax return included a loss in the amount of \$2,791,429.
- 11. Their income tax as originally reported was zero. (See 2002 Transcript of Account PL0004).
- 12. The Notice of Deficiency disallowed the \$2,791,429 loss deduction (See PL0009-Line 16) and determined the Plaintiffs' 2002 income tax without that loss to be \$155,439. (See PL0007 and PL0009 Line 16).
- 13. The other following described adjustments occur automatically due to the IRS disallowing the \$2,791,429 loss deduction: itemized deductions, personal exemptions and the SE AGI Adjustment. (See PL0009 Lines 1d, e and f).
- 14. The other two adjustments of \$2,791,250 and (\$2,791,250) set off against each other exactly. (See PL0009 Lines 1a and c).
- 15. The Notice of Deficiency determined an increase in tax of \$155,439 for 2002. (See PL0009 Line 16).
- 16. The Notice of Deficiency determined a 40 percent Gross Valuation Misstatement penalty of \$62,175.60 pursuant to Code §6662(h) for 2002. (See PL0007 and PL0010).

- 17. The Notice of Deficiency sets forth the IRS' determination of the Valuation Misstatement penalty for 2002. (See PL0007, PL0010 Line 10b and PL0033).
- 18. The 2002 penalty amount of \$62,175.20 is exactly forty percent of the increase in income tax of \$155,439.
- 19. The IRS assessed \$41,771.42 of interest on the 2002 interest and tax and penalty. (See PL0015).
- 20. The amount of interest assessed on the penalty is \$13,783.26 (See PL0004).
- 21. Plaintiffs' 2002 Transcript of Account reflects no outstanding amount due the IRS. (See PL0004).

2003 Tax Year

- 22. In October, 2004, the Plaintiffs filed their 2003 tax return with the Internal Revenue Service which receive the return on October 19, 2004. (See PL0005).
- 23. The Plaintiffs' 2003 tax return included a loss carried over from 2002. Their income tax as originally reported was zero. (See PL0006).
- 24. The Plaintiffs amended their 2003 tax return which the IRS received on November 20, 2004 (See PL0006).
- 25. The amended 2003 return recalculated their 2003 income tax without the loss carried over from 2002.
- 26. Plaintiffs paid additional tax of \$50,259 which the IRS received on November 20, 2004. (See PL0006).
- 27. The October 6, 2006 Notice of Deficiency attached as <u>Exhibit C</u> recalculated Plaintiffs' 2003 income tax both without the loss as originally filed but also without itemized (charitable contribution) deductions carried over from 2002 disallowed due to the recalculation of 2002 taxes. (See PL0009).
- 28. The 2003 tax increase resulted from the IRS disallowing the loss deduction originally claimed and from the IRS disallowing the 2002 loss deduction (which caused charitable itemized deductions to no longer carry over from 2002 to 2003).

- 29. The Notice of Deficiency determined the Plaintiffs' 2003 income tax without the disallowed deductions to be \$77,702. (Se PL0009).
- 30. The Notice of Deficiency determined a 40 percent Gross Valuation Misstatement penalty of \$31,082 pursuant to Code §6662(h) for 2003. (See PL0010 and PL0033).
- 31. The Notice of Deficiency sets forth the IRS' computation of the Valuation Misstatement penalty for 2003.
- 32. The 2003 penalty of \$31,082 is forty percent of the increase in income tax of \$77,702.
- 33. The IRS assessed interest on November 19, 2008 in the amount of \$10,215.50. The portion allocable to the 2003 penalty is $$10,215.50 \times [\$31,082/(\$31,082 + \$27,443)] = \$5,425.34$. (See PL0006).
- 34. Plaintiffs paid the increase in tax, penalty and interest at the dates reflected on the 2003 Transcript of Account. (See PL0006).
- 35. The 2003 Transcript of Account reflects no amounts currently due to the IRS. (See PL0006).

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION

- 36. The preceding facts and allegations are incorporated herein by reference.
- 37. Defendant assessed an accuracy-related penalty described in Code §6662(e) as a "Substantial Valuation Misstatement Under Chapter 1" augmented pursuant to Code §6662(h)(1) from 20 percent to 40 percent.
- 38. Thus, based on disallowing Plaintiffs' loss deduction for 2002, Defendant assessed not only additional income tax of \$155,439 plus also assessed a 2002 Valuation Misstatement penalty of 40% in an amount equal to \$62,175.60.
- 39. And based on disallowing Plaintiffs' loss deduction and a charitable deduction for 2003, Defendant assessed not only additional income tax but also assessed a 2003 Valuation Misstatement penalty of 40% in an amount equal to \$31,082.
- 40. The Defendant may not assess a Valuation Misstatement accuracy-related penalty on Plaintiffs pursuant to Code § 6662(e) and (h) when it merely disallows a deduction.

- 41. In this case, Defendant merely disallowed a loss deduction for 2002 yet still assessed a Valuation Misstatement accuracy-related penalty of \$62,175.60 and interest thereon of \$11,934 for a total of \$74,109.60 for which Plaintiffs make claim.
- 42. And for 2003, Defendant merely disallowed a loss deduction and a charitable deduction yet still assessed a Valuation Misstatement accuracy-related penalty of \$31,082 and interest thereon of \$5,425.34 for a total of \$36,507.34 for which Plaintiff's make claim.
- 43. Plaintiffs' claims for both years cumulatively equal \$112,466.20.
- In *Heasley v. Commissioner*, the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirmed its ruling in *Todd v. Commissioner*² that, "whenever the I.R.S. totally disallows a deduction or credit, the I.R.S. may not penalize the taxpayer for a valuation overstatement included in that deduction or credit." *Heasley* at 383. The reasoning of the *Heasley* and *Todd* Courts controls in this matter: *viz.*, the IRS may not penalize taxpayers with a valuation overstatement that does not exist when it disallows losses on deductions.
- 45. To the extent allowed by Code §7430, Plaintiffs make claim for administrative fees and attorney and other fees and costs.

¹ Heasley v. Commissioner, 902 F.2d 380 (5th Cir. 1990).

² Todd v. Commissioner, 862 F.2d 540 (5th Cir. 1988).

V. RELIEF SOUGHT

46. Plaintiffs request that the Court (a) determine Plaintiffs' claims herein; (b) enter a monetary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs for \$112,466.20 plus interest and attorney fees; and (c) grant Plaintiffs such further legal and equitable relief as the Court may deem and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

. WINSTON KRAUSE,

State Bar No. 11 14550

KRAUSE & ASSOCIATES LP P.O. Box 5399 Austin, Texas 78763-5399

512.477.6707 512.477.6708 fax

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFFS

SS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS J. Winston and Sheri S. Krause 3605 Balcones Drive Austin, TX 78731 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS United States of A Washington, DC	Travia	
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in	n One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				IF DEF 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Proof Business In The	
■ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3	0606
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Or					
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persona Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER' 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	Y 6 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug O Other Food & Drug of Property 21 USC 881 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs. O Occupational Safety/Health O Other LABOR O Fair Labor Standards Act O Labor/Mgmt. Relations O Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act O Cher Labor Litigation O The Labor Litig	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) FODERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service
□ 2 R		Remanded from Appellate Court			ferred from G Multidist City)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statement Tax Refund Brief description of category Refund of Penalt	use:	re filing	Do not cite jurisdiction 22 (a)	al statutes unless diversity):	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		IS A CLASS ACTION	Ŋ D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: D:
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	_	DOCKET NUMBER		
M 25 08		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP		IUDGF	мас п	IDGE

Court Name: TEXAS WESTERN
Division: 1
Receipt Number: 100000332
Cashier ID: kwallace
Transaction Date: 11/25/2008
Payer Name: KRAUSE AND ASSOCIATES LP

CIVIL FILING FEE For: KRAUSE AND ASSOCIATES LP Amount: \$350.00

SHES

Check/Money Order Num: 7430 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00
Total Tendered: \$350.00
Change Amt: \$0.00

Change Amt:

1:08CV865; J WINSTON KRAUSE, ET AL VS USA